

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/760,592	01/16/2001	Hisashi Kawabata	P/2635-64	4297
7590 05/10/2005			EXAMINER	
Steven I Weisburd Esq Dickstein Shapiro Morin & Oshinsky LLP 1177 Avenue of the Americas 41st Floor New York, NY 10036-2714			CHANG, EDITH M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2637	,
			DATE MAILED: 05/10/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/760,592	KAWABATA, HISASHI				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Edith M Chang	2637				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	mety filed ys will be considered timety. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 November 2004.						
•	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	on of Claims						
4)⊠	 ✓ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 						
	Claim(s) <u>1-10,13-20 and 22</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>11-12 and 21</u> is/are rejected.						
6)⊠							
7)🖂	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Applicat	on Papers						
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on <u>16 January 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	• •					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
		,					
Attachmen	t(s)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) 🔲 Infori	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments/Remarks

1. Applicant's arguments, see pages 12-14, filed November 17, 2004, with respect to claims 1-22 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of claims 1-10, 13-20 and 22 has been withdrawn. Claims 11-12 and 21 are rejected under 35 USC 112.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3. Claims 11-12 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Claims 11 and 21 recite multiple ranked phases stored in a ranking circuit based on a quasi-coherent signal correlated by a correlator. This does not distinctly claim the subject matter set in the disclosure of Fig.2 wherein the ranking circuit 76 storing phases based on the added quasi-coherent signal SS of quasi-coherent signals SS1, SS2 and SS3 described in specification page 25 lines 16-23.

Claim 12 is dependent on the rejected claim 11.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 1-10, 13-20 and 22 are allowable over prior art.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edith M. Chang whose telephone number is 571-272-3041. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jayanti Patel can be reached on 571-272-2988. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Edith Chang April 27, 2005

YOUNG T. TSE